

EPA Region 5 Records Ctr.

To:

Darius Taylor/R5/USEPA/US

CC:

Subject: Skinner ICS

Darius,

Attached is a message I sent you back in July asking a couple of questions about the ICS you ran for the Skinner Site. I am currently working on the referral which is a 4th qtr committment and have not received a response from you. As always, your help would be greatly appreciated!

The first question is about the update you ran covering the period 8/1/97 - 5/31/200. For the update you ran two versions to show the difference in costs once the "new" methodolgy to calculate indirect costs takes effect - the one update shows costs for this period at \$467,386.90. The second update shows costs at \$606,887. The two ICSs are not marked and I don't know which employs the "new" and which uses the "old" methodology. How can I tell which is which?

Second. I really need a culmulative ICS covering the life of the site, and an alternative cumulative calculation using the "new" methodology. Please let me know if you can provide this? Thanks for your help and please let me know if you have questions about this request.

Thanks,

Craig

Forwarded by Craig Melodia/R5/USEPA/US on 09/07/2000 02:51 PM ---



07/06/2000 10:00 AM

To: Darius Taylor Subject: Skinner ICS

Hi Darius,

Thanks for the two ICSs for Skinner. I understand that the indirect rate calculations will change this fall, and that this will affect overall costs at sites. Thanks for doing both calculations. I did have a question, however, about which of the ICSs you sent me for Skinner employs the new rate calculation. The one ICS has costs from August 1, 1997 thru May 31, 2000 in the amount of \$606,887, while the other ICS has costs at \$467,386 for the same period. Which of these two is the "old" and which is the "new"?

One other thing: what I really need for purposes of preparing my cost recovery referral to DOJ, is an ICS showing total unrecovered costs for the site (i.e., a cumulative summary). The only thing is that we are just entering into a settlement with a group of PRPs and will be receiving payments (in fact I belive we already are receiving payments from some of the settling parties), so our cumulative costs will be going down. Despite the settlement, I know we will still have a good amount of unrecovered costs at the site (somewhere around \$4 million), but am afraid it might be premature to run a cummulative summary. Perhaps we could run a cummulative summary anyway and then I can simply subtract the payments from our total costs after we have received all of the payments from the settlement. Please let me know if you can run a cummulative ICS - or if you have another suggestion please let me know.

Thanks very much,

Craig



To:

Darius Taylor/R5/USEPA/US

CC:

Subject: Skinner ICS

Hi Darius,

Thanks for the two ICSs for Skinner. I understand that the indirect rate calculations will change this fall, and that this will affect overall costs at sites. Thanks for doing both calculations. I did have a question, however, about which of the ICSs you sent me for Skinner employs the new rate calculation. The one ICS has costs from August 1, 1997 thru May 31, 2000 in the amount of \$606,887, while the other ICS has costs at \$467,386 for the same period. Which of these two is the "old" and which is the "new"?

One other thing: what I really need for purposes of preparing my cost recovery referral to DOJ, is an ICS showing total unrecovered costs for the site (i.e., a cumulative summary). The only thing is that we are just entering into a settlement with a group of PRPs and will be receiving payments (in fact I belive we already are receiving payments from some of the settling parties), so our cumulative costs will be going down. Despite the settlement, I know we will still have a good amount of unrecovered costs at the site (somewhere around \$4 million), but am afraid it might be premature to run a cummulative summary. Perhaps we could run a cummulative summary anyway and then I can simply subtract the payments from our total costs after we have received all of the payments from the settlement. Please let me know if you can run a cummulative ICS - or if you have another suggestion please let me know.

Thanks very much,

Craig

Craig,

Here's your 105 for the Skinner K. F. site.

I down included unter Report directly from our

Cost bearing system. This version were the

"New" nothodology to calculate indirect costs (100)

I included for comparison purposes only as a

rule the more secent the costs, the lower the

IDC wate will be. Hype this doesn't confuse you.

Any questions, coll me on morday. Daring 3-3241)